

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofPharmaceuticalResearchInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JPRI_123522
TitleoftheManuscript:	EFFEROCYTOSISINHEALTH,ILLNESSANDBEYOND:ANARRATIVEREVIEW
TypeoftheArticle	ReviewArticle

ReviewForm3

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has a consistency written about the theme. It's possible to clear understanding between efferocytosis and phagocytosis. Discuss the theme using diverse immunologic aspects to the understanding of main point.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it's suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract can be better explored. Focusing in these sections on text to write the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it's appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The theme approach is adequate to a narrative review. The authors get to connect the scientific aspect of literature about efferocytosis. It's possible to understand the physiologic and disease role of efferocytosis in the body.	
Are there references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It's extremely necessary to update the references with current articles.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's acceptable	
Optional/General comments		

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cassio Marinho Campelo
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Ceará, Brasil