

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124645
Title of the Manuscript:	Does insurance knowledge and loss experience influence the adoption of Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana? Evidence from Cotton farmers in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the topic is relevant and contemporary as crop insurance schemes have never been delivering results in India. This is a problem that needs deep diving. Since the government of India has launched this scheme, it will be pertinent to note if this scheme has an impact on farmers' lives.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No; Add a section on review of literature. In the introduction part, articulate the statement of problem clearly. Nowhere does the paper mention about the efforts of the state government and central government in alleviating problems of farmers. The conclusion part should also point a way forward. Limitations of research and future research directions may be added. Why have the authors chosen cotton farmers in South India? Add a para on the same.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it is scientifically sound as quantitative techniques have been used. Sampling size can be clearly highlighted to indicate the process followed. There is a statement in the results section that experience and irrigation levels have a negative impact on acceptance of crop insurance. So, how can these results be interpreted? Provide some literature support.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Provide additional references on the plight of farmers in India and efforts by state and central governments.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Substantial changes are needed in the manuscript	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Venkatesh Ganapathy
Department, University & Country	IBS, India