

## Original Research Article

### Influence of Organic Growth Promoters on Quality of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)

#### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at Vegetable Farm, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Jhalawar (Rajasthan) during *rabiseason* of 2021-2022 *pea*. The experiment consisted of thirteen treatments of organic growth promoters *viz.* *Panchagavya*@ 2 %, *Panchagavya*@ 4%, *Panchagavya*@ 6 %, *Jeevamrut*@ 2%, *Jeevamrut*@ 4%, *Jeevamrut*@ 6 % and *Brahmastra*@ 2%, *Brahmastra*@4%, *Brahmastra* @6% and vermiwash @ 5%, vermiwash @10%, vermiwash @15% and Control) and laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Results revealed that the foliar spray of *Panchagavya* @ 4 % was recorded higher chlorophyll content (2.81 mg/100g) in leaves over different growth promoters and control (... mg/100g) but it was found at *par* with application of *Panchagavya*@ 4% , Vermiwash@5%, Vermiwash@ 10%, Vermiwash@ 15%, *Jeevamrut*@ 4 % and *Brahmastra*@ 6 % *in* *pea*. Significantly higher crude protein content (24.97 %), ascorbic acid content (27.52 mg/100 g) and TSS content 19.0 °Brix were recorded with foliar application of Vermiwash@ 10% over control, Vermiwash@5%, *Jeevamrut*@2 %, *Jeevamrut*@ 4 %, *Brahmastra*@ 2 %, *Brahmastra*@ 4 % in *pea*. However, it was found at *par* with application of *Panchagavya*@2 %, *Panchagavya*@ 4 %, *Panchagavya*@ 6 %, Vermiwash@ 10%, Vermiwash@ 15%, *Jeevamrut*@ 6% and *Brahmastra*@ 6 % in the *pea*. Results revealed that *under* foliar spray of Vermiwash @ 15 % (157.34 q/ha) and lowest was found in under control (118.76 q/ha), but it was found at *par* with application of *Panchagavya*@ 4 %, *Panchagavya*@ 6%, Vermiwash @ 10 %, *Jeevamrut*@ 4 %, *Jeevamrut*@ 6 %, *Brahmastra*@ 4 % and *Brahmastra*@ 4 % yield of *pea*.

**Key Words:** *Brahmastra*, foliar spray, *jeevamrut*, *panchagavya*, *pea*, *vermiwash*.

#### INTRODUCTION

*Pea* (*Pisum sativum* L.) is an important vegetable crop grown throughout the world. In India, it is grown as herbaceous winter annual in the plains of North India and as

summer vegetable in the hills. *Pea* being leguminous crop also fixes atmospheric nitrogen in symbiosis with nitrogen fixing bacterium (*Rhizobium leguminosarum*) in the root nodules and thus has low nitrogen

requirement. Besides, it is also consumed as a pulse [1]. Pea is very palatable and nutritious for human consumption and contains higher proportion of digestible proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins (A, B and C) and minerals like phosphorus, iron, etc. Each 100 g of fresh edible portion of pea contains 72 g water, 0.1 g fat, 4.0 g fiber, 34 mg magnesium, 139 mg phosphorus, 7.8 mg sodium, 0.23 mg copper, 139 IU vitamin A, 0.01 mg riboflavin, 7.2 g protein, 0.8 g minerals, 15.8 g carbohydrates, 20 mg calcium, 14 mg oxalic acid, 1.5 mg iron, 79 mg potassium, 95 mg sulphur, 0.25 mg thiamine, 0.8 mg nicotinic acid, 9 mg vitamin C, and richest source of calories among the vegetables [2].

In India total area under pea crop is 575 thousand ha with the production of 5855 thousand MT and 10.18 tonnes/ha productivity [3]. It is mainly cultivated in Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Maharashtra, Punjab, Haryana, Orissa, Assam, West Bengal, Karnataka, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand states in India. In Rajasthan, total area under pea crop is 11329 ha with a production of 0.28 lac MT and productivity 2.47 tonnes/ha [3].

At present ever increasing population is exerting tremendous pressure on agriculture to meet their nutritional

food requirement across the world. In order to achieve the current demand of food requirement, farmers are relying more on chemical fertilizers to achieve higher productivity per unit area. However, the efficiency of the chemical fertilizers already reached a plateau due to their indiscriminate use and resulted in poor soil fertility status of the agriculture fields in addition to accumulation of toxic substances in the harvested produces. Also the cost of inorganic fertilizers is increasing enormously to an extent that they are not affordable by the small and marginal farmers. In this regard there is a need to identify the suitable substitute in place of chemical fertilizers which are economically cheaper and ecofriendly. In this juncture, the use of organic growth promoters plays an important role to sustain the soil health as well as productivity of the crops [4]. The use of organic liquid products such as *Beejamrit*, *Jeevamrit*, *Panchagavya* and vermiwash results in higher growth, yield and quality of crops. These liquid organic solutions are prepared from cow dung, urine, milk, curd, ghee, legume flour and jaggary. They contain macro nutrients, essential micro nutrients, many vitamins, essential micro nutrients, essential amino acids,

growth promoting factors like IAA, GA and beneficial microorganisms [5].

The organic growth promoters easily disperse in water and are readily available to plants compared to bulky organic manures and interestingly plants can absorb nutrients through the leaves about 20 times faster when applied as foliar spray than applied through the soil, thereby helps to overcome temporary and acute nutrient shortages in the crops [6].

The *Jeevamrutha*, *Beejamrutha*, *Panchagavya*, *Sanjivak*, *Amrithpani*, Vermiwash, *Brahmastra*, cow urine and enriched biogas digester organic growth promoters are easily available ecofriendly liquid organic manures which contains macro nutrients, essential micro-nutrients, amino acids, vitamins, growth promoting substances like IAA, GA and beneficial micro-organisms [7]. So looking to the importance of organic growth promoters and looking to the daily need of today's life it has become necessary to use these liquid organic manures to sustain human health as well as soil health. In view of the above facts and realizing the importance of organic growth promoters the present study to find out effect of organic growth promoters on growth of pea and yield of pea.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted at Vegetable Farm, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Jhalawar (Rajasthan) during rabi season of 2021-2022 pea. According to Agro-ecological region map brought out by National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Jhalawar falls in Agro-ecological region No.06. Geographically, is situated between 23.20° N latitude and 75.35° E longitude at an altitude of 632.2 meters above MSL. The soil of experimental site was clay loam in texture (sand 23.6 %, silt 37.6 % and clay 39.8 %), slightly saline in reaction EC (0.54 dS m<sup>-1</sup>). The experimental soil was medium in available nitrogen (217 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorus (16.93 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and high in potassium (336 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and sufficient in DTPA extractable micronutrients (Zn 0.42 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Fe 5.21 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Cu 0.85 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and Mn 2.90 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) with pH (7.6). The recommended dose of NPK (25:40:50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), nitrogen was applied half as basal dose and remaining half at 30 days after sowing. Phosphorus and potash were applied just before sowing as basal dose. Source of nutrients applied were urea for nitrogen, diammonium phosphate for phosphorus and mutate of potash for potassium.

The experiment consisted thirteen treatments of organic growth promoters viz. *Panchagavya* @ 2 %, *Panchagavya* @ 4%, *Panchagavya* @ 6 %, *Jeevamrut* @ 2%, *Jeevamrut* @ 4%, *Jeevamrut* @ 6 % and *Brahmastra* @ 2%, *Brahmastra* @ 4%, *Brahmastra* @ 6% and vermiwash @ 5%, vermiwash @ 10%, vermiwash @ 15% and Control) and laid out in randomized block design with three replications.

Foliarspraysolutionwaspreparedaccordingtohetreatmentsbydissolvingitinwater and spraywasdoneat30and45DAS.

#### **Methodology for preparation of organic growth promoters**

**Panchagavya:** Take 7.0 kg fresh cow dung and 1.0 kg cow ghee than mix thoroughly and incubate them for two days. Next, add 3.0 liter cow urine along with 10 liter of water and stir them properly for one week daily at morning and evening. Then add 3.0 liter sugarcane juice or jaggery mixed in water at the rate of 1:6 ratio for increase fermentation in *Panchagavya* solution. Add cow milk (2.0 liter), cow curd (2.0 liter), tender coconut water (3.0 liter), yeast (100 g) and ripened banana (12). Stir the solution thoroughly and properly for three weeks daily at mornings and evenings. Finally, *Panchagavya* was ready and

used thereafter for spraying at 30 DAS and 45 DAS [8].

**Vermiwash:** Vermiwash is a liquid that is obtained after the passage of water through a column of worm action. It is a collection of excretory products and mucous secretion of earthworms along with micronutrients from the soil organic molecules. All available litter and refuse are mixed with soil and spread in the shed of animal so as to absorb urine. The next morning, urine soaked refuse along with dung is collected and placed in the trench. Trench size is 6-7.5 m length, 1.5-2 m width and 1.0 m deep are dug. A section of the trench from one end should be taken up for filling with daily collection. When the section is filled up to a height of 45 to 60 cm above the ground level, the top of the heap is made into a dome and plastered with cow dung earth slurry. The manure is ready for use in about four to five months after plastering [9].

**Jeevamruth:** Take 100 liters of water in the barrel then add 10 kg cow dung and stir well for 5 minutes then add 5.0 liter of cow urine and stir well. Add 1.0 kg jaggery, 1.0 kg gram flour and 1.0 kg soil add in this solution and stir well for 15 minutes. Add another 100 liters of water in it and stir well. The solution should be

stored in cool place and away from sunlight for 6-7 days [10].

**Brahmastra:** Take a barrel then add 10 liter of cow urine, 3.0 kg neem leaves paste, 2.0 kg each of custard apple, pomegranate, papaya and guava leaves paste and boil the solution for 5 times and then filter solution using cloth and ferment for 24 hours. This could be stored in bottles for 6 months [11].

The observations on different quality parameters and pod yield were recorded from five selected tagged plants from each plot after foliar spray of organic growth promoters at 30 DAS and 45 DAS as per standard procedure. Chlorophyll content was measured at 60 DAS as per method suggested by [12]. The total soluble solids (TSS) content was determined with the help of Zeiss Hand Refractometer at the time of harvesting of pod.

Ascorbic acid determined by volumetric method by using 2,6-dichlorophenol-indophenol dye [13]. Estimation of nitrogen was done by colorimetric method as suggested by [14] using the Spectronic-20 (MSLPUV-1200). The data of different parameters were recorded for statistically analysed by adopting appropriate method of standard analysis of variance (ANOVA) using technique for factorial randomized block

design. The least significant difference test was used to decipher the main and interaction effects of treatments at 5% level of significance ( $P < 0.05$ ) by using least significant test [15].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Effect of organic growth promoters on quality parameters

A perusal of data presented in Table 1.0 revealed that the chlorophyll content in leaves of pea was significantly affected by the application of organic growth promoters. Under foliar spray of *Panchagavya* @ 4 % was recorded higher chlorophyll content (2.81 mg/100g) in leaves over control (1.70 mg/100 g), *Panchagavya* @ 2 % (2.0 mg/100 g), *Panchagavya* @ 6 % (2.13 mg/100 g), *Jeevamrut* @ 2 % (2.03 mg/100 g), *Jeevamrut* @ 6 % (2.13 mg/100 g), *Brahmastra* @ 2 % (2.07 mg/100 g), *Brahmastra* @ 4 % (2.18 mg/100 g) in the plant leaves. However, it was found at par with application of *Panchagavya* @ 4 % (2.81 mg/100 g), Vermiwash @ 5% (2.50 mg/100 g), Vermiwash @ 10% (2.68 mg/100 g), Vermiwash @ 15% (2.70 mg/100 g), *Jeevamrut* @ 4 % (2.40 mg/100 g) and *Brahmastra* @ 6 % (2.37 mg/100 g), respectively.

The crude protein content percent

in peas is presented in Table 1.0 and Fig.1. Significantly higher crude protein content per cent was recorded with foliar application of Vermiwash@ 10%(24.97 %) over control (22.59 %), Vermiwash@5%(23.05 %), Jeevamrut@2 %(23.09 %), Jeevamrut@ 4 %(23.66 %), Brahmastra@ 2 %(23.00 %), Brahmastra@ 4 % (23.18 %) in pea. However, it was found at par with application of Panchagavya@2 % (23.87 %), Panchagavya@ 4 % (23.97 %), Panchagavya@ 6 % (23.82 %), Vermiwash@ 10%(24.97 %), Vermiwash@ 15%(24.56 %), Jeevamrut@ 6 %(23.75 %) and Brahmastra@ 6 % (23.81%) in the pea.

Results revealed that the ascorbic acid content was significantly affected by organic growth promoters. The maximum value of ascorbic acid content with 27.52 mg/100 g was noticed under application of Vermiwash @ 10 % and minimum 24.00 mg/100 g was found in the control. However, it was found at par with Panchagavya@ 24 % (26.33 %), Panchagavya@ 6 % (27.28%) and Vermiwash@ 15%(26.72%) but it was found significantly superior over application of Panchagavya@ 2 % (25.28 %), Vermiwash@5

%(25.55%), Jeevamrut@2 %(25.26%), Jeevamrut@ 4 %(25.93%), Jeevamrut@ 6 %(25.68%), Brahmastra@ 2 %(24.65%), Brahmastra@ 4 % (25.63%) and Brahmastra@ 6 % (26.04%) in the pea. The TSS (°Brix) content of pea is depicted in presented in Table 1.0. Data showed that the maximum TSS content in vermiwash @ 10 % with 19.0 °Brix was recorded over control (16.1 °Brix), Vermiwash@ 5 %(17.8 °Brix) and Brahmastra@ 2 % (17.8 °Brix). However, it was found at par with application of Panchagavya@2 % (18.1°Brix), Panchagavya@ 4 % (18.3°Brix), Panchagavya@ 6 % (18.2°Brix), Vermiwash@ 15%(18.5 °Brix), Jeevamrut@2 %(17.9 °Brix), Jeevamrut@ 4 %(18.2 °Brix), Jeevamrut@ 6 %(18.0 °Brix), Brahmastra@ 4 %(18.0 °Brix) and Brahmastra@ 6 % (18.1°Brix) in pea.

The result of present investigations showed that application of organic growth promoters (Panchagavya, Vermiwash, Jeevamrut and Brahmastra) had significantly increased quality parameters over control like crude protein(%), ascorbic acid(mg/100g) and TSS(°Brix). The maximum value for quality attributes i.e., crude protein (24.97 %), TSS (19.03 °Brix) and ascorbic acid (27.52 mg/100g) were

recorded under treatment T5(vermiwash 10%) and minimum value of quality parameters *i.e.*, crude protein (22.59%), ascorbic acid (24.00 mg/100g) and TSS (16.13 °Brix) were recorded under treatment T0 (control). These results are in conformity with the results obtained [16] in tomato, [17] in okra, [18] in grape, [19] in fenugreek. Vermiwash contains sulphur which is involved in the synthesis of fatty acids and also increases protein quality through the synthesis of certain amino acids such as cystine and methionine, this might be also a reason for increase in protein content in pea [20]. Total soluble solids might have increased due to the better role of nutrients which is involved in the carbohydrate synthesis, breakdown and translocation of starch, synthesis of protein and neutralization of physiologically important organic acids. Foliar application of vermiwash might have increased the uptake and availability of nutrient and its further assimilation for biosynthesis of protein [21] in bitter melon. The application of organic growth promoters recorded higher ascorbic acid content. The improvement in the ascorbic acid content might be due to increased synthesis of metabolites which can stimulate the synthesis of ascorbic acid

recursor. These results are in conformity with the results obtained by [16] in tomato, [17] in okra, [22].

### **Effect of organic growth promoters on pod yield**

A perusal of data presented in Table 1.0 and Fig. 2.0 revealed that the pod yield of pea was significantly affected by the application of organic growth promoters. The data indicated that with application of Vermiwash @ 15 % had significant effect on pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup> as compared to different organic growth promoters and control. The highest pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with application of Vermiwash @ 15 % (157.34 q/ha) and lowest was found in under control (118.76 q/ha), but it was found at par with application of *Panchagavya* @ 4 % (169.93 q/ha), *Panchagavya* @ 6% (142.98 q/ha), Vermiwash @ 10 % (157.20 q/ha), *Jeevamrut* @ 4 % (141.26 q/ha), *Jeevamrut* @ 6 % (136.01 q/ha), *Brahmastra* @ 4 % (136.59 q/ha) and *Brahmastra* @ 4 % (138.27 q/ha) yield of pea during experimentation.

The foliar application of organic growth promoters (*Panchagavya* 4%) increased the yield characters and the pronounced increase in yield might be due to sustained availability of nutrients (N, P,

K, S, Zn and Fe) at growth phases and also due to enhanced carbohydrate synthesis and effective translocation of photosynthates to the developing sink [23]. *Panchagavya* increases synthesis of growth promoting substances which in turn help in increased growth and yield attributes and finally pod yield. The fermented solutions of *Panchagavya* contains various salts rich in N, P, K, S and micronutrients in plant available form which helps in the formation of chlorophyll in the leaves. Besides, cow dung and urine which are the components of *Panchagavya* contains calcium (0.4%) and silica (1.5%) that plays an important role in the chlorophyll synthesis by increasing protein formation and cell division in the leaves. The increased biological efficiency of the plants by higher chlorophyll synthesis, supply of plant nutrients and growth promoting substances enhanced the pod yield [24] in groundnut. Further the foliar spray of *Panchagavya* improves all the yield and quality parameters. This might be due to the faster absorption of nutrients like urea present in *Panchagavya* through cuticle of leaves. These results are in close agreement with those of [25] in cowpea, [26] in black gram.

## CONCLUSION


It is concluded that the quality parameters and pod yield of pea showed considerable increment due to foliar application of *Panchagavya* @ 4 %, vermiwash @ 10 % and vermiwash @ 15 %. Hence this dose of organic growth promoters proved as beneficial for increasing productivity and good health.

## REFERENCES

1. Joshi H N and Varma L R. Effects of organic nutrients in combination with biofertilizers on uptake N, P, K and yield of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2020;9: 385-389.
2. Ali M, Mishra J P, Ghosh P K and Naimuddin. Textbook of Field Crop Production. ICAR, New Delhi 2014; pp.333.
3. Anonymous. Agriculture Statistics at a Glance, Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India 2020-21.
4. Verma S, Babu A, Patel A, Singh S K, Pradhan S S and Verma S K. Significance of vermiwash on crop production: A review *J. of Phar. Phyto*. 2018; 7(2):297-301.
5. Sreenivasa M N, Nagaraj M, Naik, Bhat S N and Beejamruth. A source for beneficial bacteria. *Karn. J. of Agri. Sci.* 2010; 17 (3): 72-77.


6. DhanojiMM, MeenaMK and NaikMC. Manure and plant extracts for foliar nutrition in organic farming: A Review. *Int. J. of Che. Stu.* 2018; **6**(6):1447-1454.
7. Chongre S, Mondal R, Biswas S, Munshi A, Mondal R and Pramanick M. E ffect of liquid manure on growth and yield of summer green gram (*Vignaradiata* L. Wilczek). *Curr. J. of App. Sci. and Tech.* 2019; **38**(6):1-7.
8. Natarajan K. *Panchagavya* – A Manual. Other Indian Press, Mapusa, Goa, India 2002; pp: 333.
9. Pal and Bala. Influence of *panchagavya*, vermiwash and other organic manures on growth and yield of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. Botrytis) cv, Pusa Snowball-2. *Int. J. of Curr. Micro. and Ap. Sci.* 2020; **9**(8): 2319-7706.
10. Swain MR and Ray RC. 2009. Bio-control and other beneficial activities of *Bacillus subtilis* isolated from cow dung microflora. *Micro. Res.* 2009; 164:121-30.
11. Palekar S. Zero Budget Natural Farming 1995.
12. Sadasivam S and Manickam A. Biochemical methods. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, New Age International 1997, New Delhi.
13. Ranganna S. Hand Book of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruits and Vegetable Products. 7<sup>th</sup> Edition 2001; Tata McGraw Hill Book Co., New Delhi, 594-625.
14. Snell F D and Snell C P. Colorimetric Methods of Analysis. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn. 1949; Vol. 2<sup>nd</sup>. D. Van Nostrand Inc. New York.
15. Gomez K A and Gomez V A. Statistical Procedure for Agriculture Research 1984; John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, USA.
16. Saivani G. Influence of vermin wash on quality and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Doctoral dissertation, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad 2018.
17. Ansari A A and Sukhraj K. Effect of vermiwash and vermicompost on soil parameters and productivity of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) in Guyana. *Arf. J. of Agri. Res.* 2010; **5**(14): 1794-1798.
18. Rajkumar M, Iswarya K P, Kumar R S and Senthilnathan R. Effect of organic

- inputs on yield and quality of grapes cv. Muscat. *Plant Arch.* 2019; pp. 802-804
19. Saini Y, Patel P H, Dhakar R L, Priyanshi L T and Pooja D P. Quality and economicsoffenugreekasinfluence dbyeffectoffoliarapplicationof *panchagavya* and bananapseudostemsap. *Phar. Inn.* 2022; **11**(4):850-854.
  20. Lende S R, Deotale R D, Kamble P S, Ghadge P P and Suryapujary S M. Influence of foliar spray of vermiwash and cowdung wash on biochemical and yield contributing parameters and yield of soyabean. *J. Soil and Crops* 2007; **17**(2): 398-402.
  21. Gajjela S and Chatterjee R. Effect of foliar application of *panchagavya* and vermiwash on yield and quality of bitter gourd (*Momordicacharantia* L.). *Int. J. of Che. Stu.* 2019; **7**(3): 218-224.
  22. Senthilmurugan S, Sattanathan G, Vijayan P P K, and Tamizhazhagan V. Evaluation of different concentration of vermiwash on seed germination and biochemical response in *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.). *Evaluation* 2018; 35:45-50.
  23. Basavaraj K and Devakumar N. Yield and yield attributes of organic French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as influenced by farm yard manure and liquid manures. Thunen Report 2015; pp. 489- 492.
  24. Kumawat R N, Mahajan S S and Mertia R S. Growth and development of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) under foliar application of *panchagavya* and leaf extract of endemic plants. *Ind. J. of Agro.* 2009, **54**(3): 324-331.
  25. Sutar R, Sujith G M and Devakumar. Growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) as influenced by *jeevamrut* and *panchagavya* application. *Leg. Res.* 2018, **3**(9): 1-5.
  26. Choudhary G L, Sharma SK, Choudhary S, Singh K P, Kaushik M K, Bazaya B R. Effect of *panchagavya* on quality, nutrient content and nutrient uptake of an organic black gram (*Vigna mungo*). *J. of Phar. and Phyt.* 2017, **5**: 1572-1575.



**Fig. 1. Effect of organic growth promoters on crude protein (%)**

UNDER REVIEW



**Fig.2 Effect of organic growth promoters on estimated yield of pea**

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table: 1. Effect of organic growth promoter on quality parameters and yield of pea**

<b>Treatments</b> (Foliar spray)	<b>Chlorophyll content of leaves</b> (mg/100g)	<b>Crude protein</b> (%)	<b>Ascorbic acid</b> (mg/100g)	<b>Total Soluble Solid</b> (°Brix)	<b>Green pod yield</b> (q/ha)
Control	1.70	22.59	24.00	16.1	118.76
<i>Panchagavya</i> @2%	2.00	23.87	25.28	18.1	125.88
<i>Panchagavya</i> @4%	2.81	23.97	26.33	18.3	169.93
<i>Panchagavya</i> @6%	2.13	23.82	27.28	18.2	142.98
Vermiwash@5%	2.50	23.05	25.55	17.8	129.43
Vermiwash@10%	2.68	24.97	27.52	19.0	157.20
Vermiwash@15%	2.70	24.56	26.72	18.5	157.34
<i>Jeevamrut</i> @2%	2.03	23.09	25.26	17.9	126.48
<i>Jeevamrut</i> @4%	2.40	23.66	25.93	18.2	141.26
<i>Jeevamrut</i> @6%	2.13	23.75	25.68	18.0	136.01
<i>Brahmastra</i> @ 2%	2.07	23.00	24.65	17.8	122.77
<i>Brahmastra</i> @ 4%	2.18	23.18	25.63	18.0	136.59
<i>Brahmastra</i> @ 6%	2.37	23.81	26.04	18.1	138.27
SEm±	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>8.78</b>
CDat5%	<b>0.60</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>25.64</b>