

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123824
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening and genotypic characterization of lactic cultures isolated from fermented idli batter
Type of the Article	Research

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	While the study offers valuable insights into lactic cultures from idli batter, its broader scientific impact appears limited due to the extensive existing research on lactic acid bacteria in fermented foods. Additionally, the study lacks clear pathways for practical applications, reducing its relevance beyond a niche academic audience.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript appears scientifically sound, with appropriate methodologies for isolating and genotypically characterizing lactic cultures from fermented idli batter. The experimental design is well-executed, and the results are presented clearly.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references cited in the manuscript are outdated, with many being over a decade old. Incorporating more recent studies would enhance the manuscript's relevance and ensure it reflects the current state of research in lactic acid bacteria and fermentation science.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality of the article requires significant improvement, as there are 136 Grammarly-identified issues. These errors suggest the need for careful editing to enhance clarity, grammar, and overall readability, ensuring it meets the standards for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NA	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ashwini A. Wao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AKS University, India</b>