

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124460
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of seed dormancy and after ripening mechanism on seed germination – A Comprehensive review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to the scientific because seed germination is critical considering the high cost Unfortunately it is too long such that due the current non reading culture it would be imperative for people to read	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title of this review article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but consider removing some.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct because: 1. Its nature and references cited and listed 2. The format is scientifically recommended 3. Has a clear paragraphs on (introduction and facts about seed germination) 4. The tables must fit on a page or else consider long ones to be partitioned ie, Table 6a, 6b, 6c etc...	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ References are sufficient ▪ Consider using references at least those that are 10 old unless they are have original information ▪ I wish the references were arranged in alphabetical order and quote names of authors rather than numbers 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix Mereka Chipojola
Department, University & Country	Malawi