

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124490
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different planting material and methods of planting on growth and yield of Indian spinach (Basella rubra L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript of an agricultural activity that led to an increase in yield in a vegetable and summer plant is scientifically weak. Maybe it is suitable for a bachelor's degree where the student learns how to do research However, in today's world, such research goes back to the 80s. Therefore, depending on the opinion of the editorial board, he has to accept or reject	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Necessary comments are placed in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They should be modified based on the comments	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It seems that the author should fully compare the scientific validity of this manuscript with the research works of other researchers and compare her results partially with the results of researchers and then draw a scientific conclusion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is almost suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	To make this manuscript more scientific, it is necessary to follow the comments	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyyed Fazel Fazeli Kakhki
Department, University & Country	Khorasan Razavi Agriculture Research and Education Center, AREEO, Iran