

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124210
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genotypic × Environment Interaction and Stability Analysis for Bulb Yield and Yield Attributes in Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i>)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I feel that the manuscript submitted has a high scope of improvement but in its current form it is not suitable for the publication in this journal. The authors have not followed the journal's guidelines for the preparation of the manuscript.</p> <p>Introduction- Importance of crop with respect to the current scenario of its production and area under cultivation and why this study was formulated? Certain grammatical mistakes are also there which requires authors attention.</p> <p>Material and Methods- Improvement with information regarding the software used in the data analysis.</p> <p>Results and Discussion- The have mentioned that certain genotypes were stable for traits other than bulb yield but have not provided the data to supplement their results. Also, the authors have cited papers of other crops like cucurbits to justify their results. I recommend citing only such papers which are closely related to crop of interest like onion and garlic.</p> <p>Conclusion- More precise language can be used to summarize the study. Also, how these results can be used further must be mentioned here.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title of the article seems suitable for the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and sums up all the information of the study. Though, some minor corrections need to be done.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No. The sections should be numbered according to the journal's guidelines. The submitted manuscript must follow the journal's guidelines in terms of font size, alignment, font type, reference citing within the text and the references should be according to the guidelines.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Though the authors have tried to deal with the objective of the study, but the manuscript still lacks the scientific correctness for the publication.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Recent references should be added to the manuscript and references pertaining to the crop of interest should be done. The references w.r.t other vegetable families should be excluded from the study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used in the study is appropriate but still lacks suitability for scholarly communication and can be further improved.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>-</p>	

**Review Form 3**

---

---

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shorya Kapoor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CSK HPKV, India</b>