

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_123958
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of bio-fertilizers on shoot growth of dragon fruit cuttings [Hylocereus undatus L. (Haworth Britton & Rose)]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, the manuscript is good for its nice presentation. Though there are some minor mistakes but the findings presented by the manuscript is very impressive. Mostly, I believe that the findings obtained from this work will be helpful for sustainable agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is fine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think the abstract is comprehensive an fine.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. The subsection and structure of the manuscript is well organized.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically the manuscript is good. The presentation of findings in the manuscript are perfect and well aligned to the experiment. The results seem to be correct and acceptable. The findings of the work will be very useful for sustainable production.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Well, the references are recent. But the number is very less. Hence, I will suggest to add some more references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The language is good and up to mark for scholarly purpose.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is good. However, there are some small spelling mistakes in the manuscript which should be corrected.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Zahidur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh