

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJPCB_124220 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE PRACTICE AND SANITARY ETHICS MAINTAINED AMONG TBAs FOR SAFE VAGINAL DELIVERY IN BAYELSA STATE CENTRAL, NIGERIA. |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>I believe that the study is important and very relevant even in the presence of modern technologies and more scientific techniques as promoted by Western medicine. I say this because it is a fact that there are places where medically trained personnel like doctors and midwives are not easily accessible for the mothers and their newborns thus the presence of the TBAs and the services that they provide for mothers and their newborns must be given attention. At the same time, the study would be a good addition to the literature that highlights the indigenous or the silenced practices of the marginalized health practitioners like the TBAs which would be of great importance to social scientists specifically to those who study traditional medical sociology.</p> | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>The title can still be revised in order to give readers an idea what the entire paper is all about. The title seems to be incomplete and hanging with the message that the paper wants to convey to its readers. The title must right away bring to attention an impact of the study. Therefore I recommend the title that should include the argument or the point or the phenomenon that it wants to promote, or disprove. Example: The acceptability of the traditional practices and sanitary ethics maintained among TBAs for safe vaginal delivery in Bayelsa State Central, Nigeria - This is just a suggestion, or an example</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>The abstract must clearly state what the study intends to explain or reveal, followed by the key findings and state the conclusion as the answer to the main problem or provide, then answer the argument of the study.</p> | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <p>Generally speaking, the answer to this question also depends on the format of the template of the institution to which the author would adhere to. Some portions may be grouped together particularly for the Materials method section.</p> | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>The paper is scientific in the sense that it is largely based on the statistical data that was gathered and presented. The manuscript though, was silent on some parts of the study because some basic information or baseline data was not provided. Some data were presented in chunks. The use of table is useful but some parts of the table may stand on their own for better reading.</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes it is enough with only minimal corrections in terms of grammar (subject – verb agreements)</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>I hope the methodology was also thoroughly described. Submitted with the review form is the manuscript with comments from yourstruly</p> | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Rhodora Lynn Lintag-Tababa |
| Department, University & Country | University of Santo Tomas, Philippines |