

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_124007
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Practice of Menstrual Hygiene Management: a cross-sectional study among Adolescents' schoolgirls in the Tamale Metropolis.
Type of the Article	Research

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is novel and insightful. The masses must be aware of the facts related to menstrual cycle and the taboo has to be removed by many such researches.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is structured and appropriate. No portion needs to be deleted.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct as it is backed up with appropriate literature and references wherever required. The information is based on primary data collection which is important for establishing the study results. However, the conclusion and recommendation could have something more.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent and appropriate.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language needs no change.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is suggested that the author adds some more points of recommendations in the end to enhance the content of his/her study topic.  The topic is novel. The abstract is structured. Language is appropriate with no grammatical errors. Recommendations can have some added insights. It can be accepted as it is on the discretion of the editor.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Seema Bhakuni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Doon Institute of Management and Research, India</b>