

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_124386
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Addressing the Sustainability Issues in Tea Production through attending the principles of Regenerative Farming Practices with the Adoption of Inhana Rational Farming (IRF) Technology in the Barak Valley (Cachar) Tea Growing Zone of Assam, India – A Case Study of 10 years.</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Timely needed good work. Most parts of tea growing regions in South Asia face similar challenges due to climate change. Regenerative agriculture is a promising concept to restore degraded tea lands and to face Climate change impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<u>Yes</u>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. <u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	First part of materials and method section can be included under introduction as background of the problem identified	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Comprehensive work. But lack novelty with the work when compared with reference no's 5 and 8	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. <u>-yes</u>		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Details for preparing IPHM solutions is not adequate for repetition of work by another in the same industry	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P.L.K. Tennakoon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tea Research Institute, Sri Lanka</b>