

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJEBA_124600 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE AS IS MODERATED BY FINANCIAL RISK OF AQUACULTURE ENTERPRISES IN THE LAKE REGION ECONOMIC BLOC,KENYA. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the intersection of infrastructure financing and financial performance in aquaculture, a growing yet under-researched sector, especially in developing regions like Kenya. By exploring the moderating role of financial risk, the study provides valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities for enhancing aquaculture enterprises, which could contribute to poverty alleviation and economic growth. The methodological rigor, including the use of statistical analysis and a comprehensive literature review, adds credibility to the findings. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more detailed discussion of policy implications to broaden its practical relevance. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title of the article, "Infrastructure Financing and Financial Performance as Moderated by Financial Risk of Aquaculture Enterprises in the Lake Region Economic Bloc, Kenya," is informative but could be more concise. While it clearly communicates the scope, it may benefit from being more focused on the key aspects. An alternative title could be: "The Impact of Infrastructure Financing on Aquaculture Enterprises' Financial Performance: The Moderating Role of Financial Risk in Kenya's Lake Region." | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the article provides a general overview of the study, including its background, methodology, results, and conclusions, which is good. However, by focusing more on the research gap, key findings, and practical applications, the abstract would provide a more comprehensive and impactful summary. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be generally appropriate, as it follows a conventional academic layout. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its thorough application of well-established research methodologies, including a cross-sectional survey design and statistical tools such as correlation and regression analysis. The use of a structured sampling method and valid data collection techniques enhances the reliability of the results. Moreover, the statistical findings are clearly presented, with significant relationships identified between infrastructure financing, financial risk, and the financial performance of aquaculture enterprises. The study builds on a strong theoretical foundation and effectively integrates existing literature to support its hypotheses, demonstrating a high level of scientific rigor. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes, the references in the manuscript appear to be comprehensive, covering a broad range of sources relevant to aquaculture, financial risk, and infrastructure financing. | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Reetika Verma |
| Department, University & Country | Central University of Punjab, India |