

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_124600
Title of the Manuscript:	INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE AS IS MODERATED BY FINANCIAL RISK OF AQUACULTURE ENTERPRISES IN THE LAKE REGION ECONOMIC BLOC,KENYA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is beneficial to our fisherfolks, which may be the agencies' reference to support the fishing community's needs. It also underscores the importance of infrastructure support to fishermen. Lastly, this study is one of the most interesting results I have read as an agribusiness professor.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is commendable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	If possible, make only 1 paragraph abstract. Just state the purpose, research locale, respondents, methods, general objectives, results, and conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is alright.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The significance of the study already mentioned its technical goodness of it. It has excellent benefits for our fisherfolks.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is easy to understand. Can communicate with the readers easily.	
Optional/General comments	The research is worth to be published. Considering the amazing result and may be the reference of the agencies for decision-making in helping the beneficiaries.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Glenn M. Demillo
Department, University & Country	Institute of Business Management and Governance, Southern Philippines Agri-Business and Marine and Aquatic School of Technology, Philippines