

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_124217
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Suspected Lupus Myocarditis Revealed by Global Heart Failure: A Case Report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This case report holds significant importance for the scientific community due to its focus on a rare and serious complication of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).</li> <li>2. I appreciate the manuscript for its novelty and unique insights.</li> <li>3. It provides valuable information for clinicians and physicians.</li> <li>4. Understanding this rare complication can enhance medical practice and patient care.</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is apt and well suited for the case report and the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p><b>It involves inflammation of the cardiac muscle</b></p> <p>In the context of the provided abstract, the author should specify that the cardiac muscle affected is myocardium, since it is indicated in the title</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is scientifically rigorous, with a thorough review of relevant literature.</li> <li>2. It employs appropriate methodologies and clearly defines the diagnostic criteria for the complication.</li> <li>3. The findings are presented with clarity and accuracy, enhancing their credibility.</li> <li>4. The discussion effectively contextualizes the case within systemic lupus erythematosus, contributing valuable insights to clinical practice.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes they are sufficient and recent as well	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes the language is easy to understand and quality is good	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nice manuscript this could benefit from additional data or case comparisons to further strengthen its findings and implications	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mallam. Meghana Goud
Department, University & Country	Joginpally B R Pharmacy College, India