

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_123556
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Health care providers regarding prehypertension: An exploratory descriptive study in Kisangani, DR Congo
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pre hypertension prevention is important public concern in this material robust world, especially in the underdeveloped countries its most important and preventable morbidity. Partially like this manuscript If authors have to improve statistical point of view with supportive study. This study was undertaken in underdeveloped country. The small effort makes big change everyone.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Health care providers regarding prehypertension: An exploratory descriptive study in Kisangani, DR Congo DR – Democratic Republic Mention full form better understanding	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Improvement is needed. Methods: sample size, which year they conducted survey, data collection procedures are needed. Follow STROBE Guidelines which covers all areas of writing research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Good.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Health care provider's knowledge, attitude, and practice on PHTN is most important. The research article focussed on specially doctors and staff nurses who is with the client for 24x7. As health care providers not aware of PHTN, then we have to create propaganda during their educational course itself then we can increase knowledge, attitude and practice towards early identification of PHTN through governmental policy.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	50% of references are before 2011. If the authors update 75% below 10years it is appreciable.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Typographical error and grammatical errors to be corrected	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Statistical analysis to be revised. Results section add Mean, Standard deviation, Median or Interquartile range	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gomathi Munusamy
Department, University & Country	Lincoln University College, Malaysia