

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_123753
Title of the Manuscript:	The Importance of Citing Sources Properly In Academic Setting
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript essential for the scientific community because it highlights the critical role citation plays in academic integrity, intellectual honesty, and knowledge validation. Proper citation not only credits original authors but also provides a transparent trail for readers to verify sources, fostering trust in research. I appreciate this manuscript for its emphasis on educating researchers and students about the ethical responsibility of citation, which can help reduce plagiarism and ensure the credibility of scientific work. It is a valuable contribution to maintaining the standards of academic writing and research. It's a topic that directly impacts the quality and reliability of academic work, making it essential for researchers and students alike.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>It clearly and concisely conveys the main theme of the paper: the significance of accurate citation practices in academic work. However, a slight revision for grammatical accuracy, such as changing "Academic Setting" to "Academic Settings," might improve the clarity.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a solid overview of the paper's content. It effectively addresses the aims of the paper, discusses the importance of citations, and highlights the noble human values associated with proper citation. However, I would suggest adding a few points to make the abstract even more comprehensive: (1) The abstract could include a brief mention of the challenges or common pitfalls associated with improper citation, to provide a more balanced perspective. (2) Including a short sentence about the different citation styles (APA, MLA, etc.) and their relevance in different academic contexts could make the abstract more informative.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript, seem generally appropriate for a review paper on the importance of citing sources properly. I suggest to include some of subsections such as: (1) Types of Sources and Citation Styles (2) The Role of Citations in Academic Writing.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically sound, it highlights the essential role of citations in upholding academic integrity, a principle recognized across various fields. The discussion on how citations support arguments with verifiable evidence and acknowledge prior work aligns with accepted academic principles. By emphasizing ethical values such as honesty, integrity, and credibility in citation practices, the manuscript upholds established academic standards.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>The references provided in the manuscript are generally sufficient and cover a broad range of relevant topics, including presentation skills, knowledge sharing, and citation practices. Most references are recent, with many from 2021 to 2024, which reflects current research and discussions in the field. However, there are some older references from 2010 or earlier, such as the 1989 paper by Rice et al., which may not capture the latest developments. It would be beneficial to ensure that more recent studies are included to maintain the manuscript's relevance. I suggest to include these additional references:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Du, Y. (2020). Evaluation of intervention on Chinese graduate students' understanding of textual plagiarism and skills at source referencing. <i>Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education</i>, 45(1), 14–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2019.1601680. (2) Färber, M., & Jatowt, A. (2020). Citation recommendation: approaches and datasets. <i>International Journal on Digital Libraries</i>, 21(4), 375–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00799-020-00288-2 	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript should be free of grammatical errors, and sentence structure should be clear and concise. Complex ideas should be expressed in a well-organized manner. Nevertheless, scholarly communication typically requires a formal tone. The language should avoid informal expressions and be precise and neutral. I recommend seeking assistance from a professional editor or proofreader who can provide feedback and suggestions for improvement.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulkadir Jeilani
Department, University & Country	Mogadishu University, Somalia