

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_123621
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of different extension methods used by KVKs beneficiaries
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	b/c the paper lacks clear justification of the study, gaps and what other scholars told about the effectiveness of extension services in India? So, the author needs major modification on the overall manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Re write the abstract part. B/c the abstract should be including the core problem, objective, the methods employed, major finding and the recommendation part. I did not see this core parts. Remove the citation in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No. b/c the manuscript did not matched the objective of the study, major finding of the study and what you recommend for relevant government agency and policy	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient and some citations are old	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The authors need deeply re write the manuscript	
Optional/General comments	If the authors re write the manuscript and correct the above comments, the manuscript will be ready for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mekonnen Aklilu Tesfaye
Department, University & Country	Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia