

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_123621
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effectiveness of different extension methods used by KVKs beneficiaries</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of extension methods used by Krishi Vigyan Kendras (KVKs) in India, which play a crucial role in enhancing agricultural productivity and rural development. By evaluating various extension techniques, such as individual, group, and mass contact methods, the study contributes to the understanding of how different approaches impact farmers' knowledge, skills, and attitudes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article could be improved to better reflect the scope and focus of the research. A more descriptive and precise title would help readers immediately understand the main subject of the study, which is the effectiveness of various extension methods used by KVKs in India. Suggested alternative title: <i>"Evaluation of Extension Methods Utilized by Krishi Vigyan Kendras (KVKs) in India: Impact on Farmers' Knowledge, Skills, and Attitudes"</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a brief overview of the study, touching on the role of Krishi Vigyan Kendras (KVKs) and the evaluation of their extension methods. However, it could be made more comprehensive by including the study's methodology, key findings, and implications more clearly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes , fair enough	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear research design, appropriate use of statistical tools, and well-structured methodology. The study uses a sample size of 210 farmers, which provides a reasonable basis for drawing conclusions about the effectiveness of Krishi Vigyan Kendra (KVK) extension methods. The use of established statistical techniques such as frequency, percentage, mean score, and rank ensures the data is systematically analyzed, adding credibility to the findings. Furthermore, the manuscript clearly categorizes extension methods and assesses their impact on key areas such as knowledge, skills, and attitude change, making the research relevant and practical for agricultural development strategies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	No, The references provided in the manuscript are not recent, with many being over a decade old, and some dating back to the 1980s. This is a limitation, as the field of agricultural extension has seen significant advancements in recent years, especially with the growing role of technology in agricultural practices. Moreover, the number of references is relatively small, which might not be sufficient to comprehensively support the findings. Suggestions for improvement: 1. Include more recent studies (within the last 5–10 years) that reflect current trends in agricultural extension, particularly related to technology adoption and modern methods of knowledge transfer. 2. Additional references could be added from relevant journals or institutions like FAO, IFAD, or more recent World Bank publications on agricultural extension. 3. Consider incorporating literature on digital extension services or ICT tools used in agriculture to reflect how modern techniques are impacting the sector. By updating the references and expanding the list, the manuscript would better reflect the current state of the field and strengthen its scientific foundation	

## Review Form 3

<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of the manuscript is generally clear and conveys the intended meaning, but there are areas that could benefit from improvement to meet the standards of scholarly communication. Some sentences are overly long, and there are occasional grammatical errors or awkward phrasing that could be refined for better readability and flow	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Afnan R. Alawneh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The University of Jordan, Jordan</b>