

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_124137
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of General Combining Ability for different seedling traits in mulberry (Morus spp.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.The study addresses an importance of mulberry breeding and the need of best parental selection. 2. Helps to know the contribution of line X tester mating design in mulberry breeding 3. The results provide useful insights into combining ability, which could guide future breeding programs aimed at improving mulberry leaf yield.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have no suggestion in abstract part. It's good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific correctness of the article seems well-grounded, as it provides a thorough analysis of its subject. The authors clearly explain the methodology and materials used for this study. Moreover, the article maintains a high level of scientific rigor, ensuring that its conclusions are both valid and reliable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need more citations in interpretation part.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. It is clear and concise	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Kindly add the following details to this manuscript for more accuracy 1. Which software is used to calculate the GCA (e.g. TNAUSTAT) 2. Instead of graphs, insert minimum 1 or 2 tables for easy access of the values 3. Add more citations in the discussion part, comparison with the previous studies should enhance the reader's understanding of the study's significance 4. In conclusion part, add future perspective of the study.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Bhuvana
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India