

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please describe that the differences between T1 and T2 are statistically significant or not (t-test is necessary). 2. Fig. 1-5 indicates time course of moisture, protein content, pH, vitamin C and Ca content in T1 and T2. However, these results are not explained and discussed. You should improve the manuscript. 3. All the tables and figures are not shown in appropriate sites of the manuscript. They are inserted in appropriate locations in the manuscript. 4. On the page 7, The MS explains that vitamin C level increased by the activation of certain enzyme during soaking process. <p>You should describe what enzyme is activated.</p> <p>Minor revisions/corrections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ragi is a local name and finger millet should be used through the manuscript. 2. P2, L10: (<i>Camellia sinensis</i>) changes to (<i>Camellia sinensis</i>) 3. P6, subtitle (3.1, 3.2): "Calcium rich Ragi Tisane" changes to "calcium rich finger millet tisane" 4. P6, L25: "ofbio chemical" changes to "of bio-chemical" 5. P6, List 1: "List 1" changes to "Table 2" 6. P7, L15: "pH (6.5) was observed in T2" changes to "..... in T1" 7. P7, L17: "Bhagate <i>et al.</i>, 2020," changes to "Bhagate <i>et al.</i> (2020)" 8. P7, L16-17: "vitamin c levels which are" changes to "vitamin C level which is" 9. P7, L21: "higher nutrientcontent wheareas compared to T1" changes to "higher calcium content, compared to T1" 10. P7, L22: "Anitha <i>et al.</i> 2021" changes to "Anitha <i>et al.</i> (2021)" 11. P7, L23: "specificaaly noting" changes to "especially noted" 12. P8, L2: "content" is deleted. 13. P8, List 2: "List 2" changes to "Table 3". Scores should be defined. Do smaller scores mean "better"? 14. P9, Fig 1: The title of Fig 1 moves to the bottom. 15. P9, Titles of Fig 1 and 2: "Calcium rich Ragi Tisane" changes to "calcium rich finger millet tisane" 16. P10, Titles of Fig 3 and 4: the same as 15 17. P10, Fig 4: "combination" is deletd. "Ascorbic acid" changes to "ascorbic acid" 18. P11, Fig 5: "Treatments" changes to "treatments" 19. P11, L15: "havebeen" changes to "have been" 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Differences between T1 and T2 are statistically significant at p=0.05 – Results mentioned in manuscript 2. The trends observed in Fig. 1-5, including moisture, protein content, pH, vitamin C, and calcium content in T1 and T2, have now been thoroughly discussed in the revised manuscript. The discussion addresses the impact of different treatments on nutrient retention and explains the observed changes over the storage period. 3. All the tables and figures have now been inserted in their appropriate locations within the manuscript to ensure clarity and coherence with the text. This allows for better alignment between the data presented and the corresponding discussions. 4. The manuscript explains that the vitamin C levels increased due to the activation of certain enzymes, such as ascorbate, oxidase and peroxidase, during the soaking process. This enzymatic activity enhances the bioavailability of vitamin C, leading to a higher retention of this nutrient in the treated samples. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The name has been changed to FINGER MILLET throughout the manuscript 2. The spelling has been changed in italics for your kind notice. 3. The suitable title has been taken into account and changed 4. The spelling mistake has been corrected 5. It has been corrected for your kind notice 6. The pH values have been corrected 7. The mistake has been corrected 8. The mistake has been corrected 9. The mistake has been corrected 10. The mistake has been corrected 11. The spelling mistake has been corrected 12. The word has been removed 13. In the revised manuscript, "List 2" has been changed to "Table 3." The scores have been defined; clarifying that on the nine-point hedonic scale, a score of 9 represents the highest level of preference, while smaller scores indicate lower levels of acceptance. Thus, a higher score signifies a more favourable evaluation of the product. 14. It has been changed 15. The title has been altered 16. It has also been changed 17. The word has been deleted and changes have been done. 18. It has been corrected 19. The mistake has been rectified