

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122986
Title of the Manuscript:	Higher Agricultural Education: An Exploratory Study on Skill Gap of Students
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Development of Skills for Agricultural Students Reduce of skills gap among higher education students Determine of skill discrepancies among students in higher agricultural education	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript has good objectives of skills student Manuscript has scientific technical ways Manuscript has good processing of results	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Title: I suggest to re-write title as follows: An Exploratory Study on Skill Gap of Higher Agricultural Education Students RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Change: each index value has been calculated and categorised ... To each index value has been calculated and categories Change: in all text change percent ...To ... % after each number ... (such as 26 persen ...To .. 26%) Change: the total students of higher agriculture education results in 40 percent .. To ... the total students of higher agricultural education results in 40% CONCLUSION Change: from three different agriculture universities in the context of higher agriculture education.... To from three different agricultural universities in the context of higher agricultural education.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abd El-Rahman Abd El-Raouf Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Center, Egypt