

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_123512
Title of the Manuscript:	AMINO ACID PROFILE AND PROXIMATE ANALYSIS OF FERMENTED AFRICAN LOCUST BEAN ( <i>Parkia biglobosa</i> ) SEEDS
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- The study of the nutritional content of fermented <i>Parkia biglobosa</i> seeds will help to assess its potential as a source of food, providing an important insight on dietary considerations and addressing the issue of nutritional deficiencies.</li> <li>2- The amino acid analysis will help to evaluate the protein quality.</li> <li>3- informed decisions regarding the use of fermented <i>Parkia biglobosa</i> seeds in diets especially in places where protein sources may be limited.</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes. title of the article suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes there is abstract of the article comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-The article was reviewed and accurate in terms of statistical quality.</li> <li>2-The findings were compared with and validated against prior research in this area.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, there are enough references and most of the references are from new researches	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Behzad Mohammadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Afagh Higher Education Institute, Iran</b>