

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124055
Title of the Manuscript:	Harnessing the Power of K-Immune 10%, A Novel Bio-stimulant for Enhancing Crop Resilience and Yield: A Multi-crop Study
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The introduction needs much improvement. Please add information about the work done according to the treatment being studied to the text.</p> <p>Please add information about the characteristics of the studied seed to the materials and methods section.</p> <p>The characteristics of the studied soil should be added to the text.</p> <p>The discussion is too weak. Please add the contents related to the treatment under review to the text section.</p> <p>The conclusion is long. The same results are not supposed to be added to the overall conclusion. Please review carefully.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Good</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract should be revised. Content should be written quantitatively.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Good</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The number of samples for measurement should be 100 samples. 50 samples should be used.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>It is suitable.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is suitable.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>It needs a major revision.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeid Heydarzadeh
Department, University & Country	Urmia University, Iran