

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124055
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Harnessing the Power of K-Immune 10%, A Novel Bio-stimulant for Enhancing Crop Resilience and Yield: A Multi-crop Study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The bio-stimulant (K-Immune 10%) for improving multi-crop productivity can help address several agricultural challenges at a global level. It is also a novel product that can be efficient in multiple aspects and thus help improve crop productivity. This product is a successful bio-stimulant for different plant types and can be important for the scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of this article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	To increase the impact of your research, start with a captivating statement that highlights the agricultural difficulties handled by K-Immune 10%. Standardise concentration units throughout the abstract to promote clarity, using a consistent format such as ml/L.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. But it would be good if you elaborate on the subheadings 3.2.1 and 3.2.2.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	X-axis labels are not mentioned in figures (1-5), it will give more clarity to the readers. Also, in subheadings 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, instead of simply writing mustard or okra, it would be good to give a little more detail in the heading. This work looks to be scientifically and technically competent, due to its clear experimental design and data-driven outcomes. The study employs well-established techniques, such as seed germination testing and yield assessments, which are common in agricultural research. Furthermore, the emphasis on numerous crops, paired with precise concentration data, improves the manuscript's scientific trustworthiness and provides a thorough investigation of the bio stimulant's possible applicability in a variety of agricultural settings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references can be increased, can add 5 to 6 more references minimum. The reference- "Kazimi, R. and Saxena, D. (2023)" is missing in the text. You can add it wherever appropriate or remove it if not needed.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The work has potential but it is written very casually, and the article presentation should be improved.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is scientifically robust with clear experimental design and quantitative data, demonstrating the efficacy of K-Immune 10%. Testing across multiple crops strengthens the findings, while the focus on both yield improvement and pest resistance highlights its agricultural significance. Minor improvements in clarity and consistency could further enhance the manuscript's impact.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pushpa Reddy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indian Academy Degree College, India</b>