

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_124368
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Construction Industry to Carbon Neutrality: A Case Study on Taiwan, Thailand, and Japan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is important to the reading community, I like it because it is very informative, explaining environmental concern and mitigations to manage such concern. Article is very relevant to encourage scholars to meet net zero objectives.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Article title is suitable enough.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is observed to be comprehensive enough	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Manuscript structure and subsections are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is extremely relevant and beneficial to the entire academic community and to the society at large, it is scientifically robust, technically sound and informative in guiding people to reduce carbon emission within their environment	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent and suitable	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is very suitable for scholarly work	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Darlington Eze Ekechukwu
Department, University & Country	University of East London, United Kingdom