

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_122958
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhanced Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in South Asian Diaspora: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Manuscript

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it sheds light on the unique cardiovascular risks faced by the rapidly growing South Asian (SA) population in the United States. Despite the high prevalence of traditional risk factors like diabetes and hypertension, SAs continue to exhibit an elevated risk for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), suggesting the presence of additional, less commonly addressed etiologies.</p> <p>The manuscript's focus on atherogenic dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome, and other unique factors specific to the SA population emphasizes the need for more tailored risk stratification and management strategies. I appreciate this manuscript because it challenges the conventional approach to cardiovascular risk assessment and highlights the necessity for more nuanced research and clinical practices to effectively address the health disparities faced by the SA diaspora.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes the Title suited with the article context:- Enhanced Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in South Asian Diaspora: A Review</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>NO</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive not enough to provide a brief overview of this review manuscript. As this abstract is very short (only 32 words long). which is too short.</p> <p>As per my suggestion, the abstract should be under the 300–350 word limit. It should summarize the points like the aim and objectives of the review manuscript, the methodology or methods that are adopted in the review manuscript, the discussion, and the conclusion of the review manuscript in a single paragraph. (Rather than to do not write or mention anything on it as a structured abstract)</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>YES (The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate but it should be in a proper alignment and their proper numbering)</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract keyword font style should be Bold. 2. The text citation mention in this manuscript should be mentioned with [1] Box. 3. Author advice that the whole manuscript should be written a proper heading and subheading format with their numbering. It should be in system way to set the manuscript. 4. The whole review manuscript text content should be justify. 5. Author need to provide the factual demographic data related to CV disease with different age group. 6. Author need to provide a table for the various Inflammatory markers and adipokines. 7. Author need to mention a table to show various factors for causing the CV diseases. 8. Author need to mention at least 5-6 case studies data related to "Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in South Asian Diaspora to justify the theme of the manuscript. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Total References are 72 which is seem to be less for a review manuscript , If possible, I has to be increase up to 100-150. (To summaries more factual data). Kindly revise reference no 1 and 4.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Following corrections need to be implement in this review manuscript:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications but author must revised the various grammatical errors throughout the whole manuscript.2. There is missing of a Graphical abstract (to summarize whole review manuscript in a diagrammatically).3. There is No Table and Diagram or graph in manuscript to improve the authenticity of this review manuscript.4. Need to add a demographic data to prove “Enhanced Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in South Asian Diaspora: A Review.5. Author needs to add at least 5 different case studies to justify the the manuscript title.6. The author should increase the number of references, which is currently 72, as this indicates that the author has not conducted a rigorous literature review. Increasing the references to around 100-150 would improve the quality of the paper and enhance its content accordingly."7.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Other than the above, there are some technical corrections required, and the author is to be requested to please ensure before their final submission, like:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. For the entire manuscript, please ensure that the font size is 12 and Times of Roman.2. Please make sure that the whole manuscript text or paragraphs are justified.3. The author suggested that you please follow the heading criteria in the whole manuscript, with H₁, H₂, and H₃ patterns.4. Please ensure that the total word count is below the standard limit, as per the editor's suggested guidelines. <p>Please make sure that the manuscript is set or adjusted according to the projected chapter outline.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepak Chandra Joshi
Department, University & Country	School of Chemical Sciences and Pharmacy, Central University, India