

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123736
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Soil Conditions and Crop Productivity in Acidic Tista Floodplain Soils of Bangladesh: Evaluating the Impact of Liming on pH, Nutrient Availability, and Crop Yield
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important as per as soil science is concerned. I like the manuscript as the author tries to reclaim and manage the problematic soils (acidic) for betterment of soil and crop productivity	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may be modified as, "Evaluating soil conditions, impact of liming on soil reaction, nutrient availability and crop productivity in Acidic Tista Floodplain soils of Bangladesh."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be summarized with results as per objectives of the study instead of theory	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Result and discussion may combine as per objectives of the study. Materials and methods may include geographic locations of study area extent of area. Mention the soil analysis methods	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction may include importance of study as other related work done for reclamation, management of acidic soils in different parts	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient add soil test methods and their references	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nil.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Suhas Potdar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyappeth, India</b>