

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_124089
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and characterization of mononuclear complexes of metals transition of 1,3-bis-((5-methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl) methyleneamino) propan-2-ol
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Clear article and meets journal standards. ✓ Study of new important complexes. ✓ Use of sufficient identification techniques to identify these complexes. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>I have this suggestion : "Synthesis, characterization and identification of mononuclear complexes of metals transition of 1,3-bis-((5-methyl-1<i>H</i>-imidazol-4-yl) methyleneamino) propan-2-ol"</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is very comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Study of new important complexes. ✓ Use of sufficient identification techniques to identify these complexes. ✓ The discussion of the results is clear. ✓ Proposed structures of the complexes are in agreement with the results of the the analyses obtained. ✓ Original article. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, References are sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is clear	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Riri
Department, University & Country	Hassan II University, Morocco