

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_124421
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterize and elucidate the structure of tannins present in the seed of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i>
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is important as it reveals the presence of tannins in the seed of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> . Tannins are very important from medical point of view as it is having antiviral, antidiarrheal, cardioprotective, and antibacterial properties. They are also used in treatment of skin as it helps in healing of wound, burns and other skin conditions. This manuscript contains the method which is simple but still effective in determining the presence of various phytochemicals including tannins. There is no novelty in the method used.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title would be more appropriate if instead of elucidation word extraction is used. So, appropriate title could be "Extraction and characterization of tannins present in the seed of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> ."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Tannins are not characterized in the given research but the word characterize is used in the abstract. The use of word authors would be appropriate instead of scientist in the abstract. In the second line "TLC identified the extracted tannins and UV analysis was used" instead TLC with UV analysis was used for the identification of extracted tannins would be proper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsection of the manuscript is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Comparison of standard tannins with the unknown tannins would be more preferable for the identification of unknown tannins. Other than TLC many other chromatographic techniques would detect all the tannins present in the sample. Solvent system should be optimized for the detection of tannins from the given sample.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of language is not compatible according to the international standards	
Optional/General comments	The study is useful for the scientific community as it describes the simple technique which detect the unknown tannins. But more robust method would be preferable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usmangani Rauf Tabani
Department, University & Country	Christ College, India