Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJFAR_124263**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluating carbon stocks in Rhizophora mucronata planting of the PHE ONWJ REMAJA Program in Pantai Bahagia Villages, Bekasi Regency, Indonesia**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This study conveyed the contribution ability of R. mucronata to absorbing CO2. This is an interesting and important part of the story because R. mucronata is a mangrove species that is easy to cultivate and develop. So that the results of this study confirm the ability of R. mucronata as an agent in mitigating the impact of climate change through mangroves.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Yes**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Please add information about the methods used in monitoring and calculating carbon sequestration.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Yes**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Method: Please describe the information R.mucronata seedling used in the rehabilitation planting in 2020 ex. Age,height, etc. Also please describe the soil condition, ex. Muddy, sandy, etc. And please describe how you do the sampling to the trees. For Figure 4, I suggest to do some adjustment to make easier to read. Figure 5, please add the number on the graphic Please add more information on discussion. It would be better if author can provide a comparison of mangrove growth from other areas. I'm a little interested in the possibility that heavy metals play a role in the planting location, perhaps this could be a consideration for discussions regarding mangrove growth there.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**I suggest looking for the latest information regarding the ability of mangroves to absorb carbon, the current literature used in this article is 2014 and 2015.**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes	
Optional/General comments	In general, this article is very interesting because it provides an overview of the role of mangroves in climate change. It is also very interesting because it turns out that all Rhizophora growth can grow well. perhaps it can be added to the discussion regarding good practices carried out so that plants can grow well.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Melya Riniarti**
Department, University & Country	**University of Lampung, Indonesia**