

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123902
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of Pepper (Capsicum sp.) Viral Diseases in Bouaké and Abidjan and Characterization of Associated Begomoviruses
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript enriched the body of scientific knowledge on the characterization of Begomovirus; this could be replicated wholly in comparable locations in order to combat the pathogen and improve the yield of pepper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive; however, the author (s) should not break it into these sub-headings: objective, study design, location, methodology and conclusion. They are strictly for the body of the work. The format should be brief introduction followed by problem statement, methodology, results, discussion and conclusion. There should be a seamless flow in this sequence.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is successfully characterised Begomoviruses at the sampled locations. The phytosanitary survey conducted and the parallel deduced from similar experiments in other locations makes it scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are not recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Recommended for publication after corrections are effected	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Osita Ibe
Department, University & Country	Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria, Nigeria