

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_116321
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of alternate tillage and weed management options on production potential and weed incidences for dry seeded rice
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, it is vital for the agricultural community. 2. Yes, it is. The title is relevant to the content. 3. The abstract can be written better in a simple and detailed manner. 4. Yes, it is and there are some minor corrections that need to be carried out. 5. Yes, it is. But the experiment was carried out a decade back and we came a long way now. Still, the manuscript holds some insights that are vital for the agricultural community. 6. Yes, it is sufficient but those were not recent ones. Also, some references are missing and some corrections have to be carried out. The following are the references that are missing in reference section. Kindly check this. Moody and Mian, 1979 Hobbs and Gupta, 2004 Singh, 2006 Krupnik, 2013 Hossain, 2004 Schmidt, 1990 Fahong, 2003 7. The introduction part was too lengthy and it includes review of literature. You can give it in separate section. Try to rewrite that for better understanding. 8. All the references were before 2012. Cite few recent references for the quality and up gradation of the paper and to reach the young scientific community. 9. The materials and methods section explains main plot treatments in detail leaving the sub-plot treatments. Kindly check that and give equal importance to sub-plot treatments as well. Try to give the content of PTOS and BP in short. Explain the pre and post emergence herbicide used and when it is sprayed. Give the rice yield and weed parameter observations in brief. Mention the plot size adopted for your experimental trial. Try to give a brief cultivation practices adopted in rice during your experiment period. 10. It is complex to read the results section. Try to explain the results of first year data (2010) in one paragraph and second year (2011) data in next paragraph. Or rewrite it in a simple way. Explain the whole data in short. There are some lines which convey the same meaning again and again. Check and revise it. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, it is. The language is good and understandable.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Sowmiya
Department, University & Country	Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, India