

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124385
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NITROGEN LEVELS ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF CARDAMOM (<i>Elettaria cardamomum</i> M.) CV. MUDIGERE-1
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community. However, some revision is needed. Authors need to improve especially the materials and methods and the result and discussion part.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for this article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Can you add some information in the abstract on why you conduct this experiment or why this experiment is important?. 1-2 sentences should be enough. - Can you please spell out the word before using abbreviations like the RDF, NS and EW in your abstract. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I hope author could improve the materials and methods so that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. - For example, author should provide citation or explanation why 2-years-old cardamom plantations were used and why 50% of dose was applied. - Please provide citation for the ring method. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	- There are three papers that are quite outdated, ranging from 26 to 39 years old. If possible please change these papers and please use recent journal for your citation.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What is the 'N' that you are referring in your text in page 2, line no. 1? If nitrogen, then you should spell it out and use the 'N' as abbreviation throughout the manuscript to avoid confusion. Or else, just spell out the word. - What is the NS and EW in subsection 3.2? Please spell it out before use the abbreviation. - In page 2, subsection 2 (materials and methods) there is a table explaining the treatment details. Please put title to this table and refer it as Table 1. - Please cite the other Tables in your text. - Please paraphrase this sentence 'In case of spice crops, more the number of tillers, more will be the reproductive growth'. - The results are not well discussed. Author always compared their study with other studies and stated that their study is similar to other studies. But, author did not explained well how their study is similar to others? For example in subsection 3.3, how similar the results from those studies to yours? Are you referring to the number of tillers produced is similar to those studies that you cited? Or are you referring to the quantity of fertilizers used? - In subsection 3.4, please explain in brief the similarity of your study to those studies that you have cited. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Noorsyarinah Sanudin</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia</p>