

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123825
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Modelling Instruction on the Interest and Achievement of Lower Basic 5 Special Needs Pupils' in Special Needs Schools in Abia State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Has the potential to contribute to literature	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes to some large extend but few issues. Have added it to the main manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its rigorous research methodology and thorough data analysis. The authors have clearly defined their research questions and hypotheses, and the chosen methods align well with the study objectives, ensuring valid and reliable outcomes. The data analysis is detailed, with appropriate statistical techniques applied to verify the results, minimizing the risk of bias. Furthermore, the discussion effectively links the findings to existing literature, showcasing a deep understanding of the subject matter and contributing new insights to the field. However, the statistical tool should have been justified.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References not sufficient. I have add suggested it into detailed in the main manuscript.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs to be revised The manuscript would benefit from minor revisions to enhance clarity and presentation. First, the literature review is not comprehensive and should be strengthened by incorporating more recent studies, particularly from the last two to three years, to better support the justification for the research. Furthermore, there are also a few instances where sentence structure could be improved for better readability. Some sentences, particularly in research questions. Lastly, minor typographical errors were noted throughout the manuscript, such as a missing period on page 2, which should be corrected. These revisions will improve the manuscript's overall quality without affecting its core contributions.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Agmabire Akendita
Department, University & Country	AAMUSTED, Ghana