

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_124192
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Phytoremediation Potential of some Leguminous Crops on Crude Oil Polluted Soil in the Niger Delta Area
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes this manuscript is scientifically important proposing the suitable leguminous crop for phytoremediation of oil polluted soil	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes abstract is comprehensive but need to be improved for language	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction section needs to be improved and provide some literature on the topic. Methodology needs to be properly written with latest references. Results and discussion section needs to be improved and updated with recent references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No references are not sufficient and recent. Manuscript need to be updated with more latest references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language correction is required	
Optional/General comments	Italicized the scientific names Provided tables and figures should be cited in text properly Revise the manuscript for typos, grammar and language mistakes. Citations and references should be in same style throughout the manuscript Manuscript needs formatting corrections	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amtul Bari Tabinda
Department, University & Country	Government College University Lahore, Pakistan