

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_124316
Title of the Manuscript:	Ectopic tooth eruption – Integrative Literature Review
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper has focused on Ectopic tooth eruptions of permanent teeth and it s a mini review. Articles are newly published in past 5 years.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Abstract is comprehensive but it need some minor corrections.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please correct the " element" - Please remove or change the "To discuss the main etiological and clinical management aspects of ectopic teeth" sentences - ...out in September 2023 was written in abstract but in METHODOLOGY, it s written ...carried out in July 2024. Please correct this 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>-It need corrections, 6. REFERENCES please correct as 5. REFERENCES</p> <p>- Table 1: Characteristics of the included studies, Authors/references: please add the authors names at the Table 1 with correct column.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This a mini rewiev and it has Articles which were newly published in past 5 years as a rewiev	
Are the referencions sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are new but references number of 18,19,20 are not present in the manuscript. Please correct	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It need some minor corrections,</p> <p>3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>A variety of eruption disorders can occur during the period of intraosseous tooth formation up to the occlusion position. This change is more frequent during the transitional period, in mixed dentures (dentition stage), in which the deciduous dentition is replaced by the permanent one (successors) [16].</p> <p>This anomaly is more often found unilaterally than bilaterally, with a predominance of the left side and more often in women than in men [15] (Figure 1). Figure 1 is not correct, please confirms</p> <p>The diagnosis is essentially clinical and radiographic. Evaluation is done through panoramic radiographs and teleradiography, or in more complex cases computed tomography-CBCT.</p> <p>Therefore, eruption guidance during deciduous and mixed dentitions-stages</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Volkan Çiftçi</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Cukurova University, Turkey</p>