

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_124059
Title of the Manuscript:	REJUVENATING INDIAN RURAL ECONOMY THROUGH RYTHU BHAROSA KENDRAM
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this paper to be published because of following reasons: 1. Cutting edge research 2. Need for the society 3. There is more schemes, funding initiatives to promote agriculture activities which needs to be conveyed to all farmers in the country and this is one among them.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	RYTHU BHAROSA KENDRAM: REJUVENATING THE INDIAN RURAL ECONOMY	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive only on the condition if the author (s) can exhibit research gap (statement of the problem) as well in the abstract and concluding remarks	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not appropriate because Review of Literature is missing Objectives missing Statement of the problem is missing Policy recommendations if author (s) can include and work on	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically robust and very appropriate but If they can use regression analysis (to check the impact of RYTHU BHAROSA KENDRAM on INDIAN RURAL ECONOMY) (X on Y) or Y on X) X=Rythu Bharosa, Y=Indian Rural Economy	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient They can refer the schemes of central government for agriculture More previous studies required (more references)	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is Proficient	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shankar Guddad
Department, University & Country	M S Ramaiah College of Arts, Science and Commerce, India