

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Parasitology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_124121
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of climatic and non-climatic risk determinants of <i>Fasciola gigantica</i> infection in slaughtered cattle based on a cross-sectional survey in Sokoto State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Invasive species are one of the great challenges facing the global development of humanity in various aspects - for obtaining high yields from agricultural crops and healthy foods, for sustainable management of the environment. The problem is extremely topical, considering the importance of these enemies and the lack of detailed information about their biology and control options. Their harmful activity manifests itself in many aspects	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the Scientific Paper is appropriate	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Article summary is comprehensive	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are Appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	A number of studies have been conducted using appropriate methods. The studies were conducted at a modern scientific-theoretical and methodical level and presented in the most adequate way. The results in the scientific work are original. The conclusions are well justified and correspond to the obtained results.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient and current	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Quality of the scientific communication article is appropriate in the language/English	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Metodi Hristov Petrichev</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Forestry, Bulgaria</b>