Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Journal of Scientific Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_122531**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COST OF CULTIVATION AND RETURNS PER HECTARE OF MAJOR SEED SPICES IN GUJARAT**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This manuscript helps scientists to study economics of seed spices production. Also helps the farmer to study cost benefit analysis. These cost and return study of cumin, fennel and coriander help us to know profitability in this seed spices production. And also for making certain policy regarding production.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**It is quiet suitable. It can be improved by using the words like Economic analysis of cost and return of major seed spices production in Gujrat.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Yes, it is in comprehensive format.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**These are appropriate but make them precise.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**1) There are some spelling mistakes like flavourable (correct is "favourable") environmental condition. 2) In first paragraph of this section, mentioned the fig.1, 2 and 3 but there is no figure was seen in this paper. 3) Research paper is too lengthy as there are so much write up. This can be avoided by making it precise.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.**	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Lanuguage/ English quality of article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	COST OF CULTIVATION AND RETURNS PER HECTARE OF MAJOR SEED SPICES IN GUJARAT Introduction: 1) Make an introduction in a precise format. 2) There are some spelling mistakes like flavourable (correct is “favourable”) environmental condition. Methodology: 1) This section must contain the methodology used for objectives of research. 2) The Table No. 1, 2, 3 and 4 are not so important. You can avoid this as research paper become too lengthy. 3) Make methodology in a precise format. Results and discussion: 1) In first paragraph of this section, mentioned the fig.1, 2 and 3 but there is no figure was seen in this paper. 2) Make result and discussion in a precise format. Remove the unnecessary repeating. 3) There is too lengthy description. 4) Make table in proper format and name the table. 5) Check table number. References: 1) Check the alignment of sentence.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	**Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**P. C. Tambe**
Department, University & Country	**VNMKV, India**