

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_124000 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) genotypes based on morphological in Agro-climatic Regions of prayagraj |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Overall, the manuscript is good for its nice presentation. Though there are some minor mistakes but the findings presented by the manuscript is very impressive. Mostly, the findings obtained from this work will be helpful for further selection and breeding work to develop adaptive Chickpea varieties which is important for sustainable agro-production. These facts make the work acceptable and impressive. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title could be improved. Since both morphological and yield attributing traits were considered in the study, I think both terms should be included in the title. Hence, I suggest the title as- Evaluation of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) genotypes based on morphology and yield contributing traits in Agro-Climatic regions of Prayagraj | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | I think the abstract is fine. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes. The subsection and structure of the manuscript is well organized. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Scientifically the manuscript is good. The analysis that are presented in the manuscript are perfect and well aligned to the experiment. The results seem to be correct and acceptable. The findings of the work will be very useful for chickpea breeding programs. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Well, the references are recent. But addition of some more in number will increase the acceptance of the article. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. The language is good and up to mark for scholarly purpose. | |
| Optional/General comments | Some corrections are needed- 1.The discussion is very short and doesn't represent the whole findings. It needs to be rewritten. Besides, it's not supported by reference which needs be improved. 2. In results, the parameter plant height needs to be rewritten by including the highest and lowest values. 3. Meanings are not clear in line no. 59,60,75 & 76. These lines are needed to rewrite. 4. Grammatical correction in line no. 9,20,23,27 &102. 5. Spelling correction in line no. 44, 45, 156,167,170. 6. Upper-case lower-case issue in line no. 2,20,40,56,66,89,168,171. 7. I think there are also mistakes in figure number in line 176,179,182,185 8. Figure 10 is not clear there is no labelling for traits. It needs to be corrected. 9. Reference style is not same in all entries which should be corrected. 10. There are few mistakes regarding to the punctuation marks. | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Zahidur Rahman |
| Department, University & Country | Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh |