

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123262
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Economic Sustainability in Gujarat's Cereal sector by Analysing Trends and Implications of Costs and Prices (2001-2022)
Type of the Article	Research

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is just presenting the situation of the issues but no rigorous interpretation. Justification for the topic is missing. I think its contribution to the scientific community is not clearly stated. Title has not been justified to the topic	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may be 'The role of support pricing in the sustainability of cereal sector': Test of cost and price.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is not comprehensive. The format of the abstract writing itself is not comprehensive. It should incorporate issues, objectives, methodology, major findings and contribution.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The robustness of technicality is missing. Actually, the methodology does not present clear picture of its methods. Similarly analysis part presents only the data and trends not the interpretation sufficiently.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent references are missing.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Future tense is used in methodology. And several correction is required in language.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhruba Lal Pandey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b>