

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124219
Title of the Manuscript:	Eco-friendly Management of Powdery Mildew of Pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very important, but the researcher did not highlight its importance by taking some important measures and criteria that prove the role of the materials used in reducing the disease, in addition to the absence of any action taken regarding the pathogen, even the absence of a diagnosis of the pathogen, even if it is microscopic	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the notes are pinned to the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not well	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Form a scientific point of view, the procedure taken in terms of preparing and using the materials is good, and is what the researcher only did, but the manuscript lacks many of the procedures that must be taken because they are steps in conducting the research and the first thing is collecting samples and diagnosing the pathogen even if only microscopically and other procedures.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the notes are pinned to the manuscript	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yas	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eman Khalil Abdul-Karim
Department, University & Country	Baghdad University, Iraq