

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_121252
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different altitudinal zones on growth parameters of <i>Garcinia indica</i> (Choisy) in Uttara Kannada
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The work is valuable for the scientific community, all the information presented is from original research work conducted by the researcher/s</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The study has generated information on the 'effect of different altitude zones on the growth of <i>G. indica</i> trees' which can be deployed for further research, improvement and importance - Helps to identify and confirm the best range of agro-climatic regions for the growth of <i>G. indica</i> trees <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggestion: mainly, the objective of the finding is to explain and give convincing evidence (Scientific background) on important issues of results that need more explanation so that questions on the truth of results cannot be raised and results are acceptable by others <p>So, the finding should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> comprehensive, focused on published articles related to the topic, and up to date, consisting of the latest knowledge on the topic <input type="checkbox"/> it requires inclusive revision and improvement by incorporating published articles from abroad 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title: It's Ok</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is sound • But lacks a conclusion and clear problem statement on why the study was required • It also requires edition, grammatical error, and needs recommendation • masl or MSL?? –incorrect abbreviation • It also requires appropriate keywords, the Author/s see it again and select appropriate keywords 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes! but needs revisions and 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I reviewed the scientific quality of this manuscript (scientific correctness, soundness of methodology used, generation of useful information and contribution to scientific knowledge, etc.), and the quality of manuscript write-up (logical flow among sections and language quality, etc.) • It is technically sound, the study was done on an important tree in India • But, it is shallow information not comprehensive, it needs wide revision. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • References are not sufficient and up to dated 	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No! It is needs more grammatical and sentences correction/edition	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">In general, the organization, flow and language used are not Ok/ well writtenProvide support by comparing the results with the results of other people (both similar and different from his/her works), and then explain if the results are different from others.Describe the significance and implications of the results	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihret Yirgu
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Madda Walabu University, Ethiopia