

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_120283
Title of the Manuscript:	Occasional occurrences of Quelea birds in cultivating crops during the Belg season in Raya-azebo district of Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this article, a field survey was conducted in Raya-gazebo district during the 2024 Belg season. A total of 49 farmers' fields were assessed for the incidence and prevalence of the quelea bird. Results indicated that out of the assessed fields, 69.39% were affected by migratory (Quelea) birds the assessed fields. The damage level caused by birds was varied from 0% to 100%. On average, it was determined that approximately 32.37% of the cultivated crops were affected by birds. The mean percentage of crop damage across all kebelles was observed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. No need to add points in this section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. An Introduction should contain the following - Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state of the art of the field the report is about. - The Proposed Solution: The authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of author's work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article indicated that Quelea bird is the major challenge and hedge to crops cultivated on the Belg season Raya-azebo district on the assessed Understanding bird behavior and implementing appropriate mitigation strategies are essential for minimizing the negative impact of migratory birds on agricultural activities.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	No. I suggest the authors discuss relevant studies on this topic and update their references accordingly.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. The paper requires further English revision as it has many grammatical mistakes.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Karthigaivel
Department, University & Country	PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, India