

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_124127
Title of the Manuscript:	HLA B27 locus in association with Ankylosing Spondylitis in Indian Population -an Updated Review and a single centre study
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's important to now and study the etiology of such diseases specially in aspect of genetics and its relation with other factors in case of multifactorial diseases that might casing any gene mutation, management plan changes. I like this kind of manuscript cause related directly to my clinical speciality as a neurosurgeon we are dealing with patients complaining of this disease and it's good to know every thing about it that might help to progress in providing proper management and good care.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It OK for me.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think it's fair enough.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	He needs present more stronger statistic measurement tools to support the results (confidence interval tools) to give strength to the results. Also, he tried to highlights the prevalence of the disease according to local and Asian literature ignoring some races and never mentioned or relay on results that done by other specialized centres worldwide and clarify the software used for statistical data analysis. I think he need to explain more about inclusion and exclusion criteria specially in patient complaining of other genetic disease.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As I mentioned before, we need to know every thing about every disease we are treating starting from the causes to know how could we prevent, treat, and deal with short term and long-term complications, now adays in era of genetics revolution every single information could lead to big changes and rapid progress in finding the way to how we treat and prevent complications.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I think it's quite enough.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think he need to work more on the language quality, rephrasing and typing mistakes correction.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abduladim Mohamed B Habibi
Department, University & Country	Tripoli University, Libya