

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_124454
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of bacteriocin-like substance produced by <i>Lactobacillus songhuajiangensis</i> isolated from raw pap and antimicrobial Activity against Food borne <i>Campylobacter</i> spp.
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>the work submitted for my appreciation talks about the demonstration of the effectiveness of the bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus songhuajiangensis present in a broth to inhibit strains of campylobacter.</p> <p>This theme, which consists of isolating bacteriocinogenic strains from food matrices, then extracting, purifying and characterizing the bacteriocin in order to evaluate its effectiveness on other strains, has been extensively studied in recent years.</p> <p>In this work, the author does not do anything new, there is no originality in the substance of the work. nowhere is it a new species to be characterized. it would have been interesting to identify a new strain, which would mark the original nature of the work.</p> <p>this work is an oriented work, to isolate the strains from food matrices, the author uses a selective medium of campylobacter why? how does he know that it is the species songhuajiangensis? too many incongruities</p> <p>the positive result of the amplification of the 16S RNA gene simply indicates that it is the lactobacillus genus. the revelation on agarose gel as presented gives the molecular weight of the 16S RNA region and not the size of the bacteriocin. it would have been necessary to have primers that code for the synthesis of the bacteriocin of Lactobacillus songhuajiangensis to amplify, finally avoiding knowing the size of the bacteriocin itself.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Privat Kouakou</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Côte d'Ivoire</p>