

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_124454
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of bacteriocin-like substance produced by <i>Lactobacillus songhuajiangensis</i> isolated from raw pap and antimicrobial Activity against Food borne <i>Campylobacter</i> spp.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Bacteriocins are crucial in antimicrobial activity as they are natural peptides produced by bacteria that inhibit the growth of pathogenic microorganisms, offering a potential alternative to traditional antibiotics in combating antibiotic-resistant infections.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract need to be improved	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study incorporates various techniques, including PCR and biochemical methods, which are utilized to characterize bacteriocins and the isolated LAB species	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English must be improved	
Optional/General comments	Discussion need to be developed to give more explanation to the obtained results The use of capital letters in inappropriate places illustrations (figures and tables) must be mentioned in the text statistical test must be mentioned in material and methods section some comments need to be to the results of table 2, 3, 4 and figure1 use ° instead of 0 and remove in the temperature In material and methods section, the author name in references must be approved example: by well diffusion method according to [20]. ---→ by well diffusion method according to Kos et al. [20]. and the same case for the others. The conclusion must be added after the discussion section Genus name must be writ in italic in all the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanoun Saida
Department, University & Country	Abbes Laghrour Khenchla University, Algeria