

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJHR_117959
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of early maturing soya bean genotypes for pod shattering resistance and high yielder in Western Tigray, Ethiopia.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article adds significant value to the field of yield studies of Soybean. The following key points are observed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concept and methodology of the experiment are good. 2. The experiment was carried out properly and work & methods were well reflected in the manuscript. 3. Title of article is opt and suitable 4. The abstract is comprehensive and well written. 5. Introduction part is good and informative about the Soybean crop characteristics, and Yield. 6. Material & methods part is very informative about experimental material & conditions as well. 7. Results part is well written with very compatible and relevant discussions and reasonably based on experimental data and relevant literature. The results section is well supported with statistical analysis and bars. 8. Discussion and Conclusions are convening. 9. References section: References are relevant, recent and sufficient. <p>Missing references : Tiwari and Bhatnagar 1991 Kirsnowati, et al. 2020 Shete, etal, (2023)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Santhosh
Department, University & Country	Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, India