

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_124085
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on diagnostic and surgico-therapeutic management of perineal tumours in dogs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Studies on the diagnostic and surgico-therapeutic management of perineal tumors in dogs are crucial for several reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Understanding Tumor Biology: Research in this area enhances our understanding of the types, behaviors, and biological markers of perineal tumors. This knowledge can inform veterinary oncology and lead to better predictive models regarding tumor progression and response to treatment. 2. Improving Diagnostic Techniques: Advancements in imaging techniques and histopathological evaluation from such studies can lead to earlier and more accurate diagnosis. This is particularly important since perineal tumors may be misdiagnosed or go undetected until they reach an advanced stage. <p>Overall, studies on the diagnostic and surgical management of perineal tumors in dogs hold significant value for advancing veterinary medicine, improving patient outcomes, and enriching the broader understanding of tumor pathology and treatment. This research not only benefits dogs but can also have implications for human health and veterinary practices worldwide.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is generally suitable, but here are a few considerations for improvement: Suggestions for Improvement: Conciseness: Consider simplifying the title to make it more concise, e.g., "Diagnostic and Therapeutic Management of Perineal Tumors in Dogs." Action-Oriented: You might want to convey the outcomes of the studies. For example, "Advancements in Diagnosis and Treatment of Canine Perineal Tumors."</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a solid overview of the study, but there are areas where it could be improved for clarity and comprehensiveness. Here are some suggestions:</p> <p>Strengths: Clear Objective: The focus on perineal tumors in dogs is evident. Key Findings: It includes important observations regarding breed predisposition and clinical signs. Treatment Overview: The management strategies (castration, surgery, and chemotherapy) are well-documented. Laboratory Results: Hematological and histopathological findings are included.</p> <p>Areas for Improvement: Objective Statement: Start with a clear statement of the study's objective to provide context. Structure: Organizing the content into sections (e.g., Background, Methods, Results, Conclusion) can enhance readability. Statistical Data: If applicable, mention any statistical significance or methods used in the analysis. Broader Implications: Briefly include the implications of the findings for veterinary practice or future research.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript presents a scientifically robust and technically sound study on perineal tumors in dogs, demonstrating careful methodological planning and thorough analysis.</p> <p>Scientific Correctness: Clear Objectives and Rationale: The study clearly states its objectives, providing a solid rationale for investigating perineal tumors and their management in dogs. Comprehensive Data Collection: The authors employed a variety of diagnostic techniques, including clinical examinations, cytology, histopathology, and imaging studies, ensuring a comprehensive approach to diagnosis and treatment evaluation. Detailed Methodology: The surgical techniques and anesthetic protocols are well-defined,</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>enhancing reproducibility. The study also describes the handling of postoperative care, addressing potential complications.</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: The use of appropriate statistical methods (Complete Randomized Design and paired 't' tests) adds credibility to the findings, allowing for a thorough interpretation of the data.</p> <p>Relevant Literature Integration: The discussion effectively correlates findings with existing literature, highlighting consistency with previous studies and reinforcing the conclusions drawn.</p> <p>Diverse Case Presentation: The manuscript encompasses a range of tumors, breeds, and clinical signs, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of the condition.</p> <p>Conclusion: Overall, the manuscript demonstrates a strong scientific foundation, with well-supported findings that contribute valuable insights to the field of veterinary oncology. The rigorous approach and attention to detail ensure that the study is both informative and relevant for future research and clinical practices.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	Yes	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najwa Adam Mhmoud Juda
Department, University & Country	University of Khartoum, Sudan