

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_124085
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies on diagnostic and surgico-therapeutic management of perineal tumours in dogs</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and fulfil all the requirements.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. The authors are requested to add the follow-up treatments in details with name of all the drugs and duration of treatment. I also request the authors to include a post recovery photograph of the dog so that the readers and scientific community can compare. References be checked and cross checked thoroughly in the text and in list, and mention the doi correctly if available. Also remove old references (References before last 10 years are considered as old.) Add conflict of interest if any. Mention the superscripts after the SE and not after the mean value. Mention the area of interest in the images by an arrow to easily understand.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The presented manuscript finds scientifically and technically correct and robust because the manuscript is describing the case report in a robust scientific language, the technique of surgical intervention and post-operative care. The discussion and conclusion part is also properly mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References be checked and cross checked thoroughly in the text and in list, and mention the doi correctly if available. Also remove old references (References before last 10 years are considered as old.)	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes the manuscript can be published after minor corrections	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	As mentioned in raw 5 and 6.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhirenkumar Babubhai Bhoi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Veterinary College, Kamdhenu University, India</b>